arXiv:1602.08214v1 [math.CO] 26 Feb 2016

Distance spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs

Hongying Lin*, Bo Zhou†

School of Mathematical sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China

Abstract

We study the effect of three types of graft transformations to increase or decrease the distance spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs, and we determined the unique k-uniform hypertrees with maximum, second maximum, minimum and second minimum distance spectral radius, respectively.

AMS classification: 05C50, 05C65, 15A18

Key words: distance spectral radius, uniform hypergraph, uniform hypertree, distance matrix, graft transformation

1 Introduction

A hypergraph G consists of a vertex set $V(G)$ and an edge set $E(G)$, where $V(G)$ is nonempty, and each edge $e \in E(G)$ is a nonempty subset of $V(G)$, see [2]. The order of G is $|V(G)|$. For an integer $k \geq 2$, we say that a hypergraph G is k-uniform if every edge has size k. A (simple) graph is a 2-uniform hypergraph. The degree of a vertex v in G, denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the number of edges of G which contain v.

For $u, v \in V(G)$, a walk from u to v in G is defined to be a sequence of vertices and edges $(v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}, e_p, v_p)$ with $v_0 = u$ and $v_p = v$ edge e_i contains vertices v_{i-1} and v_i, and $v_{i-1} \neq v_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. The value p is the length of this walk. A path is a walk with all v_i distinct and all e_i distinct. A cycle is a walk containing at least two edges, all e_i are distinct and all v_i are distinct except $v_0 = v_s$. A vertex $u \in V(G)$ is viewed as a path (from u to u) of length 0. If there is a path from u to v for any $u, v \in V(G)$, then we say that G is connected. A hypertree is a connected hypergraph with no cycles. Note that a k-uniform hypertree with m edges always has order $1 + (k-1)m$.

*E-mail: lhongying0908@126.com

†Corresponding author. E-mail: zhoubo@scnu.edu.cn

Let G be a k-uniform hypergraph with $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$, where $2 \leq k \leq n$. For $u, v \in V(G)$, the distance between u and v is the length of a shortest path from u to v in G, denoted by $d_G(u, v)$. In particular, $d_G(u, u) = 0$. The diameter of G is the maximum distance between all vertex pairs of G. The distance matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix $D(G) = (d_G(u, v))_{u,v \in V(G)}$. The eigenvalues of $D(G)$ are called the distance eigenvalues of G. Since $D(G)$ is real and symmetric, the distance eigenvalues of G are real. The distance spectral radius of G, denoted by $\rho(G)$, is the largest distance eigenvalue of G. Note that $D(G)$ is a irreducible nonnegative matrix. The Perron-Frobenius theorem implies that $\rho(G)$ is simple, positive, and there is a unique positive unit eigenvector $x(G)$ corresponding to $\rho(G)$, which is called the distance Perron vector of G, denoted by $x(G)$.

The study of distance eigenvalues of 2-uniform hypergraphs (ordinary graphs) dates back to the classical work of Graham and Pollack [5], Graham and Lovász [4] and Edelberg et al. [3]. Ruzieh and Powers [7] showed that among connected 2-uniform hypergraphs of order n, the path P_n is the unique graph with maximum distance spectral radius. Stevanović and Ilić [9] showed that among trees of order n, the star S_n is the unique tree with minimum distance spectral radius. Nath and Paul [6] determined the unique trees with maximum distance spectral radius among trees with fixed matching number. For more details on distance eigenvalues and especially on distance spectral radius of 2-uniform hypergraphs, one may refer to the recent survey of Aouchiche and Hansen [1] and referees therein. Sivasubramanian [8] gave a formula for the inverse of a few q-analogs of the distance matrix of a 3-uniform hypertree.

For a k-uniform hypertree G with $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, if $E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$, where $e_i = \{v_{(i-1)(k-1)+1}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(k-1)+k}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, then we call G a k-uniform loose path, denoted by $P_{n,k}$.

For a k-uniform hypertree G of order n, if there is a disjoint partition of the vertex set $V(G) = \{u\} \cup V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$ such that $|V_1| = \cdots = |V_m| = k - 1$, and $E(G) = \{\{u\} \cup V_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then we call G is a hyperstar (with center u), denoted by $S_{n,k}$. In particular, $S_{1,k}$ is a hypergraph with a single vertex and $S_{k,k}$ is a hypergraph with a single edge.

In this paper, we study the effect of three types of graft transformations to increase or decrease the distance spectral radius of k-uniform hypergraphs. As applications, we show that $P_{n,k}$ and $S_{n,k}$ are the unique k-uniform hypertrees with maximum and minimum distance spectral radius, respectively, and we also determine the unique k-uniform hypertrees with second maximum and second minimum distance spectral radius, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a k-uniform hypergraph with $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. A column vector $x = (x_{v_1}, \ldots, x_{v_n})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be considered as a function defined on $V(G)$

which maps vertex v_i to x_{v_i}, i.e., $x(v_i) = x_{v_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then

$$x^\top D(G)x = \sum_{\{u,v\}\subseteq V(G)} 2d_G(u,v)x_u x_v,$$

and ρ is a distance eigenvalue with corresponding eigenvector x if and only if $x \neq 0$ and for each $u \in V(G)$,

$$\rho x_u = \sum_{v\in V(G)} d_G(u,v)x_v.$$

The above equation is called the (ρ, x)-eigenequation of G (at u). For a unit column vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at least one nonnegative entry, by Rayleigh's principle, we have

$$\rho(G) \geq x^\top D(G)x$$

with equality if and only if $x = x(G)$.

For $u \in V(G)$, let $G-u$ be the sub-hypergraph of G obtained by deleting u and all edges containing u. We remark that in the literature this is sometimes denoted by strongly deleting the vertex u. For $e \in E(G)$, let $G - e$ be the sub-hypergraph of G obtained by deleting e.

For $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $X \neq \emptyset$, let $G[X]$ be the sub-hypergraph induced by X, i.e., $G[X]$ has vertex set X and edge set $\{e\cap X : e \in E(G)\}$, and let $\sigma_G(X)$ be the sum of the entries of the distance Perron vector of G corresponding to the vertices in X.

Let G be a k-uniform hypergraph with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in E(G)$ such that $u \notin e_i$ and $v \in e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $e_i' = (e_i \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let G' be the hypergraph with $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') = (E(G) \setminus \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}) \cup \{e_1', \ldots, e_r'\}$. Then we say that G' is obtained from G by moving edges $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ from v to u.

A path $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}, e_p, v_p)$ in a k-uniform hypergraph G is called a pendant path at v_0, if $d_G(v_0) \geq 2$, $d_G(v_i) = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, $d_G(v) = 1$ for $v \in e_i \setminus \{v_{i-1}, v_i\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p$, and $d_G(v_p) = 1$. An edge $e = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ in G is called a pendant edge at w_1 if $d_G(w_1) \geq 2$, $d_G(w_i) = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

If P is a pendant path of a hypergraph G at u, we say G is obtained from H by attaching a pendant path P at u with $H = G[V(G) \setminus (V(P) \setminus \{u\})]$. If P is a pendant path of length one of G at u, then we also say that G is obtained from H by attaching a pendant edge at u.

A component of a hypergraph G is a maximal connected sub-hypergraph of G.

For vertex-disjoint hypergraphs G_1 and G_2, let $G_1 \cup G_2$ the be union of G_1 and G_2, i.e, $V(G_1 \cup G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \cup G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$.

3 The effect of graft transformations on distance spectral radius

In this section we propose three types of graft transformations for a k-uniform hypergraph, and consider the effect of them to increase or decrease the distance spectral radius.

Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with $|E(G)| \geq 1$. For $u \in V(G)$, and positive integers p and q, let $G_u(p,q)$ be a k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching two pendant paths of lengths p and q at u, respectively, and $G_u(p,0)$ be a k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a pendant path of length p at u.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph $|E(G)| \geq 1$ and $u \in V(G)$. For integers $p \geq q \geq 1$, $\rho(G_u(p,q)) < \rho(G_u(p+1,q-1))$.*

Proof. Let $H = G_u(p,q)$. Let $P = (u, e_1, u_1, \ldots, u_{p-1}, e_p, u_p)$ and $Q = (u, e'_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}, e'_q, v_q)$ be the pendant paths of H at u of lengths p and q, respectively. Let $e_u^1, \ldots, e_u^t$ be all the edges of G containing u, where $t \geq 1$.

Suppose that $\sigma_H(V(P)) \geq \sigma_H(V(Q))$. Let H' be the hypergraph obtained from H by moving edges $e_u^1, \ldots, e_u^t$ from u to v_1. It is easily seen that $H' \cong G_u(p+1,q-1)$. As we pass from H to H', the distance between a vertex of $V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ and a vertex of $V(P)$ is increased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ and $V(Q) \setminus (e'_1 \setminus \{v_1\})$ is decreased by 1, and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged. Let $x = x(H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}(\rho(H') - \rho(H)) \\
\geq\ & \frac{1}{2} x^\top (D(H') - D(H)) x \\
=\ & \sigma_H(V(G) \setminus \{u\}) \left[\sigma_H(V(P)) - (\sigma_H(V(Q)) - \sigma_H(e'_1 \setminus \{v_1\}))\right] \\
=\ & \sigma_H(V(G) \setminus \{u\}) \left[\sigma_H(V(P)) - \sigma_H(V(Q)) + \sigma_H(e'_1 \setminus \{v_1\})\right] \\
\geq\ & \sigma_H(V(G) \setminus \{u\}) \sigma_H(e'_1 \setminus \{v_1\}) \\
>\ & 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\rho(H) < \rho(H')$.

Suppose that $\sigma_H(V(P)) < \sigma_H(V(Q))$. Let H'' be the hypergraph obtained from H by moving edges $e_u^1, \ldots, e_u^t$ from u to u_1. It is easily seen that $H'' \cong G_u(p-1,q+1)$. By similar argument as above, we have that $\rho(H) < \rho(H'')$.

Thus we have proved that

$$\rho(G_u(p,q)) < \max\{\rho(G_u(p-1,q+1)), \rho(G_u(p+1,q-1))\}. \tag{3.1}$$

If $p = q$, then the result follows. Suppose that $p > q$. If $\rho(G_u(p,q)) < \rho(G_u(p-1,q+1))$, then by using (3.1) repeatedly, we have

$$\rho(G_u(p,q)) \ \leq\ \rho\left(G_u\left(\left\lceil \frac{p+q+1}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lfloor \frac{p+q-1}{2} \right\rfloor\right)\right)$$

$$< \quad \rho\left(G_u\left(\left\lfloor\frac{p+q-1}{2}\right\rfloor, \left\lceil\frac{p+q+1}{2}\right\rceil\right)\right),$$

which is impossible. Thus $\rho(G_u(p,q)) < \rho(G_u(p+1,q-1))$. □

Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with $u, v \in e \in E(G)$. For positive integers p and q, let $G_{u,v}(p,q)$ be a k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a pendant path of length p at u and a pendant path of length q at v, and $G_{u,v}(p,0)$ be a k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a pendant path of length p at u. Let $G_{u,v}(0,q) = G_{v,u}(q,0)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph $|E(G)| \geq 2$ and $u, v \in e \in E(G)$. Suppose that $G - e$ consists of k components. For integers $p, q \geq 1$, $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p-1,q+1))$ or $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p+1,q-1))$.*

Proof. Let $H = G_{u,v}(p,q)$. Let $P = (u, e_1, u_1, \ldots, u_{p-1}, e_p, u_p)$ and $Q = (v, e'_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}, e'_q, v_q)$ be the pendant paths of H at u and v of lengths p and q, respectively. Let $e = \{u, v, w_1, \ldots, w_{k-2}\}$, $e_1 = \{u, u_1, w'_1, \ldots, w'_{k-2}\}$ and $e'_1 = \{v, v_1, w''_1, \ldots, w''_{k-2}\}$. For $w \in e$, let G_w be the component of $G - e$ containing w, and let t_w be the number of edges of $G - e$ containing w. For $w \in e$ with $t_w \geq 1$, let $e^1_w, \ldots, e^{t_w}_w$ be the t_w edges of $G - e$ containing w. Note that $e^1_w, \ldots, e^{t_w}_w \in E(G_w)$ for $w \in e$.

Suppose that $\sigma_H(V(P)) \geq \sigma_H(V(Q))$. Let H' be the hypergraph obtained from H by moving edges $e^1_{w_i}, \ldots, e^{t_{w_i}}_{w_i}$ from w_i to w''_i for all i with $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ and $t_i \geq 1$ if $k \geq 3$, moving edges $e^1_u, \ldots, e^{t_u}_u$ from u to v if $t_u \geq 1$, and moving edges $e^1_v, \ldots, e^{t_v}_v$ from v to v_1 if $t_v \geq 1$. It is easily seen that $H' \cong G_{u,v}(p+1, q-1)$.

For $k \geq 3$, let $I = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq k-2,\ t_{w_i} \geq 1\}$. As we pass from H to H', the distance between a vertex of $V(G_u) \setminus \{u\}$ and a vertex of $V(P)$ is increased by 1, and the distance between a vertex of $V(G_u) \setminus \{u\}$ and a vertex of $V(Q)$ is decreased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $V(G_v) \setminus \{v\}$ and a vertex of $V(P) \cup (e \setminus \{u\})$ is increased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $V(G_v) \setminus \{v\}$ and a vertex of $V(Q) \setminus (e'_1 \setminus \{v_1\})$ is decreased by 1, for $i \in I$ with $k \geq 3$, the distance between a vertex of $V(G_{w_i}) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and a vertex of $V(P) \cup (e \setminus \{w_i, u, v\})$ is increased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $V(G_{w_i}) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and w_i is increased by 2, the distance between a vertex of $V(G_{w_i}) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and a vertex of $V(Q) \setminus \{v, w''_i\}$ is decreased by 1, and the distance between a vertex of $V(G_{w_i}) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and w''_i is decreased by 2, and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged. Let $x = x(H)$. Note that $\sigma_H(e) - x_u \geq x_v$ and $\sigma_H(e'_1) - x_{v_1} \geq x_v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\rho(H') - \rho(H)) \\ \geq \quad & \frac{1}{2}x^\top(D(H') - D(H))x \\ = \quad & \sigma_H(V(G_u) \setminus \{u\})\,(\sigma_H(V(P)) - \sigma_H(V(Q))) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sigma_H(V(G_v)\setminus\{v\})\,[\sigma_H(V(P))+(\sigma_H(e)-x_u)\\
&-(\sigma_H(V(Q))-(\sigma_H(e_1')-x_{v_1}))]\\
&+\sum_{i\in I}\sigma_H(V(G_{w_i})\setminus\{w_i\})\,[\sigma_H(V(P))+(\sigma_H(e)-x_{w_i}-x_u-x_v)+2x_{w_i}\\
&-(\sigma_H(V(Q))-x_v-x_{w_i''})-2x_{w_i''}\big]\\
=\;&\sigma_H(V(G_u)\setminus\{u\})\,(\sigma_H(V(P))-\sigma_H(V(Q)))\\
&+\sigma_H(V(G_v)\setminus\{v\})\,[\sigma_H(V(P))-\sigma_H(V(Q))\\
&+(\sigma_H(e)-x_u)+(\sigma_H(e_1')-x_{v_1})]\\
&+\sum_{i\in I}\sigma_H(V(G_{w_i})\setminus\{w_i\})\,[\sigma_H(V(P))-\sigma_H(V(Q))\\
&+(\sigma_H(e)-x_u)+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''}\big]\\
\geq\;&\sigma_H(V(G_u)\setminus\{u\})\,(\sigma_H(V(P))-\sigma_H(V(Q)))\\
&+\sigma_H(V(G_v)\setminus\{v\})\,(\sigma_H(V(P))-\sigma_H(V(Q))+2x_v)\\
&+\sum_{i\in I}\sigma_H(V(G_{w_i})\setminus\{w_i\})\,\big(\sigma_H(V(P))-\sigma_H(V(Q))+x_v+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''}\big)\\
\geq\;&2\sigma_H(V(G_v)\setminus\{v\})x_v+\sum_{i\in I}\sigma_H(V(G_{w_i})\setminus\{w_i\})\,\big(x_v+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''}\big)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the eigenequations of H at v, w_i and w_i'', we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(H)x_v &= x_{w_i}+x_{w_i''}+\sum_{w\in V(H)\setminus\{v,w_i,w_i''\}}d_H(v,w),\\
\rho(H)x_{w_i} &= x_v+2x_{w_i''}+\sum_{w\in V(H)\setminus\{v,w_i,w_i''\}}d_H(w_i,w),\\
\rho(H)x_{w_i''} &= 2x_{w_i}+x_v+\sum_{w\in V(H)\setminus\{v,w_i,w_i''\}}d_H(w_i'',w).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $w\in V(H)\setminus\{v,w_i,w_i''\}$ with $i\in I$, we have $d_H(v,w)+d_H(w_i,w)-d_H(w_i'',w)\geq 0$. Then $\rho(H)(x_v+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''})\geq -x_{w_i}+3x_{w_i''}$. Since $(\rho(H)+1)(x_v+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''})\geq x_v+2x_{w_i''}>0$, we have $x_v+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''}>0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}(\rho(H')-\rho(H)) &\geq \tfrac{1}{2}x^\top(D(H')-D(H))x\\
&\geq 2\sigma_H(V(G_v)\setminus\{v\})x_v\\
&\quad+\textstyle\sum_{i\in I}\sigma_H(V(G_{w_i})\setminus\{w_i\})\,\big(x_v+x_{w_i}-x_{w_i''}\big)\\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

It follows that $\rho(H)\leq\rho(H')$.

If $I\neq\emptyset$, then $\sum_{i\in I}\sigma_H(V(G_{w_i})\setminus\{w_i\})>0$, and thus from (3.2) we have $\rho(H)<\rho(H')$. Suppose that $I=\emptyset$ and $\rho(H)=\rho(H')$. Then $\sigma_H(V(G_v)\setminus\{v\})=0$, i.e., $V(G_v)=\{v\}$. Since $|E(G)|\geq 2$, we have $V(G_u)\setminus\{u\}\neq\emptyset$. Thus $d_H(u,z)<d_{H'}(u,z)$ for $z\in V(G_u)\setminus\{u\}$. On the other hand,

since all inequalities in (3.2) are equalities, we have $x^\top D(H')x = \rho(H')$, and thus $x(H') = x$, implying that $\rho(H)x_u = (D(H)x)_u < (D(H')x)_u = \rho(H')x_u$ contradiction. Thus $\rho(H) < \rho(H')$.

Suppose that $\sigma_H(V(P)) < \sigma_H(V(Q))$. Let H'' be the hypergraph obtained from H by moving edges $e^1_{w_i}, \ldots, e^{t_{w_i}}_{w_i}$ from w_i to w'_i for all i with $1 \le i \le k-2$ and $t_i \ge 1$ if $k \ge 3$, moving edges $e^1_u, \ldots, e^{t_u}_u$ from v to u if $t_u \ge 1$, and moving edges $e^1_v, \ldots, e^{t_v}_v$ from u to u_1 if $t_v \ge 1$. It is easily seen that $H'' \cong G_{u,v}(p-1, q+1)$. By similar argument as above, we have that $\rho(H) < \rho(H'')$.

Thus $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p-1,q+1))$ or $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p+1,q-1))$, as desired. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with $|E(G)| \ge 2$ and $u, v \in e \in E(G)$. Suppose that $G - e$ consists of k components and $d_G(u) = d_G(v) = 1$. For $p \ge q \ge 1$, $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p+1,q-1))$.*

Proof. If $p = q$, then the result follows from Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $p > q$. Suppose that $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p-1,q+1))$. Since $d_G(u) = d_G(v) = 1$, we have $G_{u,v}(\lceil \frac{p+q+1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{p+q-1}{2} \rfloor) \cong G_{u,v}(\lfloor \frac{p+q-1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{p+q+1}{2} \rceil)$. Using Theorem 3.2 repeatedly, we have $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) \le \rho(G_{u,v}(\lceil \frac{p+q+1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{p+q-1}{2} \rfloor)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(\lfloor \frac{p+q-1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{p+q+1}{2} \rceil))$, which is impossible. Thus $\rho(G_{u,v}(p,q)) < \rho(G_{u,v}(p+1,q-1))$. □

Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with $|E(G)| \ge 2$, and let $e = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ be an edge of G, where $d_G(w_i) = 1$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$, $d_G(w_k) \ge 2$. For $1 \le i \le k-1$, let H_i be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with $v_i \in V(H_i)$. Suppose that $G, H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1}$ are vertex-disjoint. For $0 \le s \le k-1$, let $G_{e,s}(H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1})$ be the hypergraph obtained by identifying w_i of G and v_i of H_i for $s+1 \le i \le k-1$ and identifying w_k of G and v_i of H_i for all i with $1 \le i \le s$. In particular, if $H_i = S_{t_i(k-1)+1,k}$ and v_i is its heart, where $t_i \ge 0$ and $1 \le i \le k-1$, then we write $G_{e,s}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$ for $G_{e,s}(H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1})$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $|E(H_j)| \ge 1$ for some j with $1 \le j \le k-1$. Then $\rho(G_{e,0}(H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1})) > \rho(G_{e,s}(H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1}))$ for $j \le s \le k-1$.*

Proof. Let $H = G_{e,0}(H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1})$. For $1 \le i \le k-1$, let t_i be the number of edges of $H - e$ containing w_i. For $1 \le i \le k-1$ with $t_i \ge 1$, let $e^1_i, \ldots, e^{t_i}_i$ be the t_i edges of $H - e$ containing w_i. Let H' be the hypergraph obtained by moving edges $e^1_i, \ldots, e^{t_i}_i$ from w_i to w_k for all i with $1 \le i \le s$ and $t_i \ge 1$. It is easily seen that $H' \cong G_{e,s}(H_1, \ldots, H_{k-1})$.

Let $I = \{i : 1 \le i \le s,\ t_i \ge 1\}$. As we pass from H to H', for $i, l \in I$ with $i \ne l$, the distance between a vertex of $V(H_i) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and a vertex of $V(H_l) \setminus \{w_l\}$ is decreased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $V(H_i) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and a vertex of $(V(G) \setminus e) \cup \{w_k\}$ is decreased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $V(H_i) \setminus \{w_i\}$ and w_i is increased by 1, and the distance between any

other vertex pair remains unchanged. Let $x = x(H')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho(H) - \rho(H')) \\ \geq\ & \tfrac{1}{2} x^\top (D(H) - D(H'))x \\ =\ & \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} \sigma_{H'}(V(H_i) \setminus \{w_i\}) \Big(\sum_{\substack{l\in I \\ l>i}} \sigma_{H'}(V(H_l) \setminus \{w_l\}) \\ & + \sigma_{H'}(V(G) \setminus e) + x_{w_k} - x_{w_i} \Big) \\ \geq\ & \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} \sigma_{H'}(V(H_i) \setminus \{w_i\}) \left(\sigma_{H'}(V(G) \setminus e) + x_{w_k} - x_{w_i}\right) \\ \geq\ & \sigma_{H'}(V(H_t) \setminus \{w_j\}) \left(\sigma_{H'}(V(G) \setminus e) + x_{w_k} - x_{w_j}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Note that there is an edge different from e containing w_k. Let v be a vertex different from w_k in such an edge of G. For $w \in V(H) \setminus \{v, w_k, w_j\}$, we have $d_{H'}(v, w) + d_{H'}(w_k, w) - d_{H'}(w_j, w) \geq 0$. By the eigenequations of H' at v, w_k and w_j, we have $\rho(H')(x_v + x_{w_k} - x_{w_j}) \geq -x_v + 3x_{w_j}$. Since $(\rho(H') + 1)(x_v + x_{w_k} - x_{w_j}) \geq x_{w_k} + 2x_{w_j} > 0$, we have $x_v + x_{w_k} - x_{w_j} > 0$. Then $\sigma_{H'}(V(G) \setminus e) + x_{w_k} - x_{w_j} > x_v + x_{w_k} - x_{w_j} > 0$. From (3.3), we have $\rho(H) > \rho(H')$. □

Corollary 3.2. *For $G_{e,0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$, if $t_j \geq 1$ for some j with $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, then $\rho(G_{e,0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})) > \rho(G_{e,s}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$ for $j \leq s \leq k-1$.*

4 Distance spectral radius of uniform hypertrees

In this section we study the distance spectral radius of k-uniform hypertrees using the results in Section 3.

For positive integers Δ, n with $1 \leq \Delta \leq \frac{n-1}{k-1}$, let $B^{\Delta}_{n,k}$ be the k-uniform hypertree obtained from vertex-disjoint hyperstar $S_{(\Delta-1)(k-1)+1,k}$ with center u and loose path $P_{n-(\Delta-1)(k-1),k}$ with an end vertex v by identifying u and v. In particular, $B^{\Delta}_{n,k} \cong P_{n,k}$ if $\Delta = 1, 2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a k-uniform hypertree on n vertices with maximum degree Δ, where $1 \leq \Delta \leq \frac{n-1}{k-1}$. Then $\rho(T) \leq \rho(B^{\Delta}_{n,k})$ with equality if and only if $T \cong B^{\Delta}_{n,k}$.*

Proof. It is trivial if $\Delta = 1$. Suppose that $\Delta \geq 2$. Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n with maximum degree Δ having maximum distance spectral radius.

Let u be a vertex of T with degree Δ.

Case 1. $\Delta \geq 3$.

Suppose that there are at least two vertices of degree at least 3 in T. Choose a vertex v of degree at least 3 such that $d_T(u, v)$ is as large as possible. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_{d_T(v)}$ be the vertex disjoint sub-hypergraphs of $T - v$ such that $T[V(T_i) \cup \{v\}]$ is a k-uniform hypertree for $1 \leq i \leq d_T(v)$. Assume that

$u \in V(T_1)$. If $k = 2$, then $T[V(T_i) \cup \{v\}]$ is a pendant path at v for $2 \leq i \leq d_T(v)$. Suppose that $k \geq 3$ and $T[V(T_i) \cup \{v\}]$ is not a pendant path at v for some i with $2 \leq i \leq d_T(v)$. Then there is at least one edge in $E(T[V(T_i) \cup \{v\}])$ with at least three vertices of degree two. We choose such an edge $e = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ by requiring that $d_T(v, w_1)$ is as large as possible, where $d_T(v, w_1) = d_T(v, w_j) - 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. Then there are at least two pendant paths at different vertices of e, say $P = (w_j, e_1, u_1, \ldots, u_{p-1}, e_p, u_p)$ at w_j and $Q = (w_l, e'_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}, e'_q, v_q)$ at w_l, where $2 \leq j < l \leq k$ and $p, q \geq 1$. Then $T \cong H_{w_j,w_l}(p, q)$, where $H = T[V(T) \setminus (V(P \cup Q) \setminus \{w_j, w_l\})]$. Assume that $p \geq q$. Note that $d_H(w_j) = d_H(w_l) = 1$ and $T' = H_{w_j,w_l}(p+1, q-1)$ is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree Δ. By Corollary 3.1, we have $\rho(T) < \rho(T')$, a contradiction. Thus $T[V(T_i) \cup \{v\}]$ is a pendant path at v for $2 \leq i \leq d_T(v)$ for $k \geq 2$. Let l_i be the length of the pendant path $T[V(T_i) \cup \{v\}]$ at v, where $2 \leq i \leq d_T(v)$ and $l_i \geq 1$. Then $T \cong G_v(l_2, l_3)$, where $G = T[V(T) \setminus V(T_2 \cup T_3)]$. Assume that $l_2 \geq l_3$. Note that $T'' = G_v(l_2 + 1, l_3 - 1)$ is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree Δ. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho(T) < \rho(T'')$, a contradiction. Thus u is the unique vertex of degree at least 3 in T.

Let $G_1, \ldots, G_\Delta$ be the vertex disjoint sub-hypergraphs of $T - u$ such that $T[V(G_i) \cup \{u\}]$ is a connected k-uniform hypergraph for $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$. By similar argument as above, $T[V(G_i) \cup \{u\}]$ is a pendant path at u for $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$. Let l_i be the length of the pendant path $T[V(G_i) \cup \{u\}]$ at u for $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$. Suppose that there are at least two pendant paths of length at least two at u, say $T[V(G_i) \cup \{u\}]$ and $T[V(G_j) \cup \{u\}]$ are such two paths, where $1 \leq i < j \leq \Delta$. Then $T \cong H_u(l_i, l_j)$, where $H = T[V(T) \setminus V(G_i \cup G_j)]$. Assume that $l_i \geq l_j$. Note that $T^* = H_u(l_i + 1, l_j - 1)$ is also a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree Δ. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho(T) < \rho(T^*)$, a contradiction. Thus there is at most one pendant path of length at least 1, implying that $T \cong B^{\Delta}_{n,k}$.

Case 2. $\Delta = 2$.

It is trivial if $k = 2$. Suppose that $k \geq 3$ and $T \ncong B^2_{n,k}$. Then there is an edge in T with at least three vertices of degree 2. Choose such an edge $e = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ in $E(T)$ such that $d_T(u, w_1)$ is as large as possible, where $d_T(u, w_1) = d_T(u, w_j) - 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$. Then there are two pendant paths at different vertices of e, say $P = (w_j, e_1, u_1, \ldots, u_{p-1}, e_p, u_p)$ at w_j and $Q = (w_l, e'_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}, e'_q, v_q)$ at w_l, where $2 \leq j < l \leq k$ and $p, q \geq 1$. Then $T \cong H_{w_j,w_l}(p, q)$, where $H = T[V(T) \setminus (V(P \cup Q) \setminus \{w_j, w_l\})]$. Assume that $p \geq q$. Note that $d_H(w_j) = d_H(w_l) = 1$ and $T' = H_{w_j,w_l}(p + 1, q - 1)$ is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree 2. By Corollary 3.1, we have $\rho(T) < \rho(T')$, a contradiction. Thus there are at most two vertices of degree two in each edge, implying that $T \cong B^2_{n,k}$.

Combining Cases 1 and 2, we complete the proof. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let T be a k-uniform hypertree on n vertices, where $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 1$. Then $\rho(T) \leq \rho(P_{n,k})$ with equality if and only if $T \cong P_{n,k}$.*

Proof. It is trivial if $\frac{n-1}{k-1} = 1, 2$. Suppose that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 3$. Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n with maximum distance spectral radius. Let Δ be the

maximum degree of T. Then by Theorem 4.1, $T \cong B^{\Delta}_{n,k}$. Suppose that $\Delta \geq 3$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\rho(B^{\Delta}_{n,k}) < \rho(B^{\Delta-1}_{n,k})$, a contradiction. Then $\Delta = 2$, and thus $T \cong B^2_{n,k} \cong P_{n,k}$. □

For $k \geq 3$ and a loose path$P_{n-k+1,k} = (u_0, e_1, u_1, \ldots, e_{\frac{n-k}{k-1}}, u_{\frac{n-k}{k-1}})$, where $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 3$, let $F_{n,k}$ be the k-uniform hypertree obtained from $P_{n-k+1,k}$ by attaching a pendant edge at a vertex of degree one in e_2. If $\frac{n-1}{k-1} = 3$, then $F_{n,k} \cong P_{n,k}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 3$ and $k \geq 3$. Then $\rho(B^3_{n,k}) < \rho(F_{n,k})$.*

Proof. If $\frac{n-1}{k-1} = 3$, then the result follows from Theorem 4.2.

Suppose that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 4$. Let $T = F_{n,k}$. Let $v \in e_2$ such that $d_T(v) = 1$, and let e be the pendant edge at v. Let T' be the hypergraph obtained from T by moving e from v to u_1. Obviously, $T' \cong B^3_{n,k}$.

As we pass from T to T', the distance between a vertex of $e \setminus \{v\}$ and a vertex of e_1 is decreased by 1, the distance between a vertex of $e \setminus \{v\}$ and v is increased by 1, and the distance between any other vertex pair remained unchanged. Let $x = x(T')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\rho(T) - \rho(T'))x_v &\geq \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(D(T) - D(T'))x \\ &= (\sigma_{T'}(e) - x_v)(\sigma_{T'}(e_1) - x_v). \end{aligned}$$

From the eigenequations of T at u_0, u_1 and v, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(T')x_{u_0} &= x_{u_1} + 2x_v + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} x_{w_i} + \sum_{w \in V(T)\setminus(e_1 \cup \{v\})} d_{T'}(u_0, w)x_w, \\ \rho(T')x_{u_1} &= x_{u_0} + x_v + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} x_{w_i} + \sum_{w \in V(T)\setminus(e_1 \cup \{v\})} d_{T'}(u_1, w)x_w, \\ \rho(T')x_v &= 2x_{u_0} + x_{u_1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} 2x_{w_i} + \sum_{w \in V(T)\setminus(e_1 \cup \{v\})} d_{T'}(v, w)x_w. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $w \in V(T) \setminus (e_1 \cup \{v\})$, $d_{T'}(u_0, w) + d_{T'}(u_1, w) - d_{T'}(v, w) \geq 0$. Then $(\rho(T') + 1)(x_{u_0} + x_{u_1} - x_v) \geq x_{u_1} + 2x_v > 0$, and thus $\sigma_{T'}(e_1) - x_v > x_{u_0} + x_{u_1} - x_v > 0$. It follows that $\rho(T') < \rho(T)$, as desired. □

Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n, where $T \ncong P_{n,k}$. Then $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 3$, and if $\frac{n-1}{k-1} = 3$, then $T \cong S_{n,k}$.

Let $F_{n,2} = B^3_{n,2}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n, where $T \ncong P_{n,k}$ and $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 4$. Then $\rho(T) \leq \rho(F_{n,k})$ with equality if and only if $T \cong F_{n,k}$.*

Proof. Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n nonisomorphic to $P_{n,k}$ with maximum distance spectral radius.

Let Δ be the maximum degree of T. Then $\Delta \geq 3$ if $k = 2$ and $\Delta \geq 2$ if $k \geq 3$.

Suppose that $\Delta \geq 3$. Then by Theorem 4.1, $T \cong B_{n,k}^{\Delta}$. Suppose that $\Delta \geq 4$. Note that $B_{n,k}^{\Delta-1} \ncong P_{n,k}$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\rho(B_{n,k}^{\Delta}) < \rho(B_{n,k}^{\Delta-1})$, a contradiction. Thus $\Delta = 3$. It follows that $T \cong B_{n,k}^{3}$. The result for $k = 2$ follows.

Suppose in the following that $k \geq 3$.

Suppose that $\Delta = 2$. Since $T \ncong P_{n,k}$, there is at least one edge with at least three vertices of degree 2. Suppose that there are at least two such edges. Let u be a vertex of degree one in T. Choose an edge $e = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ in $E(T)$ with at least 3 vertices of degree two such that $d_T(u, w_1)$ is as large as possible, where $d_T(u, w_1) = d_T(u, w_i) - 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Then there are at least two pendant paths at different vertices of e, say $P = (w_i, e_1, u_1, \ldots, u_{p-1}, e_p, u_p)$ at w_i and $Q = (w_j, e_1', v_1, \ldots, v_{q-1}, e_q', v_q)$ at w_j, where $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $p, q \geq 1$. Then $T \cong H_{w_i,w_j}(p, q)$, where $H = T[V(T) \setminus (V(P \cup Q) \setminus \{w_i, w_j\})]$. Assume that $p \geq q$. Note that $T' = H_{w_i,w_j}(p + 1, q - 1)$ is a k-uniform hypertree that is not isomorphic to $P_{n,k}$. By Corollary 3.1, we have $\rho(T) < \rho(T')$, a contradiction. Thus there is exactly one edge e with at least three vertex of degree 2.

We claim that there are exactly three vertices of degree two in e. Otherwise, $k \geq 4$ and there are four vertices w_1, w_2, w_3 and w_4 of degree two in e. Let Q_i be the pendant path of length l_i at w_i, where $l_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Assume that $l_1 \geq l_2$. Let $G = T[V(T) \setminus (V(Q_1 \cup Q_2) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\})]$. Then $T \cong G_{w_1,w_2}(l_1, l_2)$, Note that $T'' = G_{w_1,w_2}(l_1 + 1, l_2 - 1)$ is a k-uniform hypertree that is not isomorphic to $P_{n,k}$. By Corollary 3.1, $\rho(T) < \rho(T'')$, a contradiction. Thus there are exactly three vertices of degree two in e, say w_1, w_2, and w_3.

Let Q_i be the pendant path at w_i with length l_i, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $l_i \geq 1$. Assume that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq 2$. Let $G = T[V(T) \setminus (V(Q_1 \cup Q_2) \setminus \{w_1, w_2\})]$. Then $T \cong G_{w_1,w_2}(l_1, l_2)$. Note that $T^* = G_{w_1,w_2}(l_1 + 1, l_2 - 1)$ is a k-uniform hypertree that is not isomorphic to $P_{n,k}$. By Corollary 3.1, $\rho(T) < \rho(T^*)$, a contradiction. Thus there are at least two of Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 with length 1. Thus $T \cong F_{n,k}$.

By Lemma 4.1, $\rho(B_{n,k}^{3}) < \rho(F_{n,k})$. Thus $T \cong F_{n,k}$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let T be a k-uniform hypertree on n vertices, where $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 1$. Then $\rho(T) \geq \rho(S_{n,k})$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_{n,k}$.*

Proof. It is trivial if $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \leq 2$. Suppose that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 3$. Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n with minimum distance spectral radius.

Let d be the diameter of T. Obviously, $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $d \geq 3$. Let $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_d, v_d)$ be a diametral path of T. Let $e_{d-1} = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$, where $w_{k-1} = v_{d-1}$ and $w_k = v_{d-2}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, let t_i be the number of pendant edges at w_i outside P, where $t_{k-1} \geq 1$. For

$1 \le i \le k-1$ with $t_i \ge 1$, let $e_i^1, \ldots, e_i^{t_i}$ be the t_i pendant edges at w_i outside P, where $e_{w_{k-1}}^1 = e_s$. Then $T \cong G_{e_{d-1},0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$, where $G = T[V(T) \setminus E_1]$ and $E_1 = \cup_{\substack{1 \le i \le k-1 \\ 1 \le j \le t_i}} (e_i^j \setminus \{w_i\})$. Let T' be the hypergraph obtained from $G_{e_{d-1},0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$ by moving edges $e_i^1, \ldots, e_i^{t_i}$ from w_i to w_k for all i with $1 \le i \le k-1$ and $t_i \ge 1$. Then $T' \cong G_{e_{d-1},k-1}(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$. By Corollary 3.2, $\rho(T) > \rho(T')$, a contradiction. Thus $d = 2$, implying that $T \cong S_{n,k}$. □

An automorphism of a hypergraph G is a bijection on $V(G)$ which induces a bijection on $E(G)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with η being an automorphism of G. Let $x = x(G)$. Then $\eta(u) = v$ implies that $x_u = x_v$.*

Proof. Let P be the permutation matrix that corresponds to the automorphism η of G. Then $D(G) = P^\top D(G) P$. Since $\rho(G)x = D(G)x$, we have $\rho(G) = x^\top Dx = (Px)^\top D(G)(Px)$. Obviously, Px is a positive unit vector. Thus $Px = x$, from which the result follows. □

For $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \ge 3$ and $1 \le a \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \right\rfloor$, let $D_{n,a}$ be the k-uniform hypertree obtained from vertex-disjoint $S_{a(k-1)+1,k}$ with center u and $S_{n-k-a(k-1)+1,k}$ with center v by adding $k-2$ new vertices $w_1, \ldots, w_{k-2}$ and an edge $\{u, v, w_1, \ldots, w_{k-2}\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For $2 \le a \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \right\rfloor$, $\rho(D_{n,a}) > \rho(D_{n,a-1})$.*

Proof. Let $b = \frac{n-k}{k-1} - a$. Let u and v be the vertices of $D_{n,a}$ with degree a and b, respectively. Let $E(D_{n,a}) = \{e_i : 1 \le i \le a+b+1\}$ and $e_i = \{w_i^1, \ldots, w_i^k\}$ for $1 \le i \le a+b+1$, where $w_i^k = u$ if $1 \le i \le a$, $w_i^k = v$ for $a+1 \le i \le a+b$, and $w_i^1 = u$ and $w_i^k = v$ for $i = a+b+1$. Let $x = x(D_{n,a})$. By Lemma 4.2, $x_{w_i^1} = x_{w_i^j}$ and $x_{w_1^1} = \cdots = x_{w_a^1}$ for $1 \le i \le a$ and $1 \le j \le k-1$, $x_{w_i^1} = x_{w_i^j}$ and $x_{w_{a+1}^1} = \cdots = x_{w_{a+b}^1}$ for $a+1 \le i \le a+b$ and $1 \le j \le k-1$, and $x_{w_i^2} = \cdots = x_{w_i^{k-1}}$ for $i = a+b+1$. Let $\alpha = x_{w_1^1}$, $\beta = x_{w_{a+1}^1}$, and $\gamma = x_{w_{a+b+1}^2}$. From the eigenequations of $D_{n,a}$ at w_1^1, w_{a+1}^1, w_{a+b+1}^1, u and v, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(D_{n,a})\alpha &= (2(k-1)a - k)\alpha + 3(k-1)b\beta + 2(k-2)\gamma + x_u + 2x_v, \\
\rho(D_{n,a})\beta &= 3(k-1)a\alpha + (2(k-1)b - k)\beta + 2(k-2)\gamma + 2x_u + x_v, \\
\rho(D_{n,a})\gamma &= 2(k-1)a\alpha + 2(k-1)b\beta + (k-3)\gamma + x_u + x_v, \\
\rho(D_{n,a})x_u &= (k-1)a\alpha + 2(k-1)b\beta + (k-2)\gamma + x_v, \\
\rho(D_{n,a})x_v &= 2(k-1)a\alpha + (k-1)b\beta + (k-2)\gamma + x_u.
\end{aligned}$$

We view these equations as a homogeneous linear system in the five variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x_u$, and x_v. Since it has a nontrivial solution, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix}
2(k-1)a - k - \rho & 3(k-1)b & 2(k-2) & 1 & 2 \\
3(k-1)a & 2(k-1)b - k - \rho & 2(k-2) & 2 & 1 \\
2(k-1)a & 2(k-1)b & k-3-\rho & 1 & 1 \\
(k-1)a & 2(k-1)b & k-2 & -\rho & 1, \\
2(k-1)a & (k-1)b & k-2 & 1 & -\rho
\end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where $\rho = \rho(D_{n,a})$. Thus $\rho(D_{n,a})$ is the largest root of the equation $g_a(t) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} g_a(t) &= -t^5 + t^4(2ak + 2bk - k - 2a - 2b - 3) \\ &\quad +t^3(k^2 + 4ak^2 + 4bk^2 + 5abk^2 - 10abk \\ &\quad -ak - bk - 4k + 5ab - 3a - 3b - 3) \\ &\quad +t^2(k^3 + 3abk^3 + 2ak^3 + 2bk^3 + k^2 - 3abk^2 \\ &\quad +4ak^2 + 4bk^2 - 3abk - 5ak - 5bk - 5k + 3ab - a - b - 1) \\ &\quad +t(2k^3 + 2abk^3 + 3ak^3 + 3bk^3 - k^2 - 4abk^2 \\ &\quad -ak^2 - bk^2 + 2abk - 2ak - 2bk - 2k) \\ &\quad +k^3 + ak^3 + bk^3 - k^2 - ak^2 - bk^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $2 \le a \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \right\rfloor$, it is easily seen that

$$g_a(t) - g_{a-1}(t) = (b + 1 - a)(k - 1)^2 t[5t^2 + (3k + 3)t + 2k].$$

Let $\rho_i = \rho(D_{n,i})$ for $1 \le i \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \right\rfloor$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_a(\rho_{a-1}) &= g_a(\rho_{a-1}) - g_{a-1}(\rho_{a-1}) \\ &= (b + 1 - a)(k - 1)^2 \rho_{a-1}[5\rho_{a-1}^2 + (3k + 3)\rho_{a-1} + 2k] \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which, together with the fact that $g_a(t) < 0$ for $t > \rho_a$, we have $\rho_a > \rho_{a-1}$ for $2 \le a \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \right\rfloor$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n, where $T \ncong S_{n,k}$ and $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \ge 3$. Then $\rho(T) \ge \rho(D_{n,1})$ with equality if and only if $T \cong D_{n,1}$.*

Proof. It is trivial if $\frac{n-1}{k-1} = 3$. Suppose that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \ge 4$. Let T be a k-uniform hypertree of order n nonisomorphic to $S_{n,k}$ with minimum distance spectral radius.

Let d be the diameter of T. Since $T \ncong S_{n,k}$, we have $d \ge 3$. Let $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_d, v_d)$ be a diametral path of T. Let $e_{d-1} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$, where $w_{k-1} = v_{d-1}$ and $w_k = v_{d-2}$. For $1 \le i \le k-1$, let t_i be the number of the pendant edges at w_i outside P, where $t_{k-1} \ge 1$. For $1 \le i \le k-1$ with $t_i \ge 1$, let $e_i^1, \ldots, e_i^{t_i}$ be the t_i pendant edges at w_i outside P, where $e_{w_{k-1}}^1 = e_d$. Then $T \cong G_{e_{d-1},0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$, where $G = T[V(T) \setminus E_1]$ and $E_1 = \cup_{\substack{1 \le i \le k-1 \\ 1 \le j \le t_i}} (e_i^j \setminus \{w_i\})$. Assume that $T = G_{e_{d-1},0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$.

Suppose that $d \ge 4$. Let T' be the hypergraph obtained from T by moving edges $e_i^1, \ldots, e_i^{t_i}$ from w_i to w_k for all i with $1 \le i \le k-1$ and $t_i \ge 1$. Then $T' \cong G_{e_{s-1},k-1}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1}) \ncong S_{n,k}$. By Corollary 3.2, $\rho(T) > \rho(T')$, a contradiction. Thus $d = 3$, implying that $T = G_{e_2,0}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1})$.

Suppose that $k \ge 3$ and $t_i \ge 1$ for some i with $1 \le i \le k-2$. Let T'' be the hypergraph obtained from T by moving edges $e_i^1, \ldots, e_i^{t_i}$ from w_i to w_k

for all i with $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ and $t_i \geq 1$. Then $T'' \cong G_{e_2,k-2}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1}) \ncong S_{n,k}$. By Corollary 3.2, $\rho(T) > \rho(T'')$, a contradiction. Thus $t_i = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k-2$. It follows that $T = G_{e_2,0}(0, \ldots, 0, t_{k-1}) \cong D_{n,a}$, where $a = \min\{t_{k-1}, \frac{n-k}{k-1} - t_{k-1}\}$ for $k \geq 3$. Obviously, this is also true for $k = 2$. By Lemma 4.3, we have $T \cong D_{n,1}$ □

5 Concluding remarks

We propose three types of graft transformations for a k-uniform hypergraph, and study the effect of them to increase or decrease the distance spectral radius. We show that $P_{n,k}$ and $S_{n,k}$ are the unique k-uniform hypertrees with maximum and minimum distance spectral radius, respectively, and we also determine the unique k-uniform hypertrees with second maximum and second minimum distance spectral radius, respectively. Besides, we determine the unique hypertree with maximum distance spectral radius among k-uniform hypertrees with given maximum degree. Some theorems in this paper echo results on the distance spectral radius of ordinary graphs in the literature, see, e.g. [7, 9, 10, **?**]. However, there are differences between k-uniform hypergraphs with $k \geq 3$ and ordinary graphs ($k = 2$). In Theorem 4.3, we show that $F_{n,k}$ is the unique hypertree with second maximum distance spectral radius among k-uniform hypertrees of order n. It is easy to see that $F_{n,k}$ for $k \geq 3$ and $F_{n,2}$ have quite different structure.

References

[1] M. Aouchiche, P. Hansen, Distance spectra of graphs: A survey, Linear Algebra Appl. 458 (2014) 301–386.

[2] C. Berge, Hypergraphs: Combinatorics of Finite Sets, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

[3] M. Edelberg, M.R. Garey, R.L. Graham, On the distance matrix of a tree, Discrete Math. 14 (1976) 23–39.

[4] R.L. Graham, L. Lovász, Distance matrix polynomials of trees, Adv. Math. 29 (1978) 60–88.

[5] R.L. Graham, H.O. Pollack, On the addressing problem for loop switching, Bell System Tech. J. 50 (1971) 2495–2519.

[6] M. Nath, S. Paul, On the distance spectral radius of trees, Linear Multilinear Algebra 61 (2013) 847–855.

[7] S.N. Ruzieh, D.L. Powers, The distance spectrum of the path P_n and the first distance eigenvector of connected graphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra 28 (1990) 75–81.

[8] S. Sivasubramanian, q-analogs of distance matrices of 3-hypertrees, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 1234–1248.

[9] D. Stevanović, A. Ilić, Distance spectral radius of trees with fixed maximum degree, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 20 (2010) 168–179.

[10] Y. Wang, B. Zhou, On distance spectral radius of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 3490–3503.

[11] R. Xing, B. Zhou, F. Dong, The effect of a graft transformation on distance spectral radius, Linear Algebra Appl. 457 (2014) 261–275.